Bioethics (Fall 2012) Professor Ikemoto UC Davis Law School Henrietta Lacks & HeLa

Teaching module provided by Dr. Katayoun Chamany, Assoc. Prof. of Biology, Eugene Lang College, The New School.

Please do not distribute to others. This is a Beta-test module being developed for the National Center for Case Study Teaching in Science.

Syllabus Follows

Stakehold Perspective Assignment

| Choose one: David Lacks Jr., Kimberley Lacks 1) 2) |
|--|
| George and Margaret Gey 1) 2) |
| Richard Wesley TeLinde 1) 2) |
| Edward Panelli (see <i>Moore</i>) 1) 2) |
| Stanley Mosk (see <i>Moore</i>) 1) 2) |
| William Catalona and his patients 1) 2) |
| Rebecca Skloot 1) 2) |

Review the readings and research biographical information about your stakeholder.

Ms. Henrietta Lacks & HeLa in Context

- 1. Rebecca Skloot, The Immortal Life of Henrietta Lacks 9, 13-33 (2010).
- 2. Curtis, 1997. Modern Times: *The Way of All Flesh*. Aired on BBC. Modern Times Series, Editor Stephen Lambert. 52 minutes. http://tenpercent.wordpress.com/2009/02/08/adam-curtis-the-way-of-all-flesh/
- 3. Hannah Landecker, *The HeLa Stories*, in Culturing Life 162-179 (2007).

Research Ethics

- 1. Ehrlich, R. (1997). *In Memory of Henrietta Lacks*. Congressional Record. http://o-www.gpo.gov.library.colby.edu/fdsys/pkg/CREC-1997-06-04/pdf/CREC-1997-06-04-pt1-PgE1109.pdf
- 2. Perriello T. May 28, 2010. *Honoring Henrietta Lacks*. Congressional Record. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CREC-2010-05-28/pdf/CREC-2010-05-28-pt1-PgE1008-3.pdf
- 3. Erin Biba, Henrietta Everlasting: 1950s Cells Still Alive, Helping Science. Wired Magazine (Feb. 2010). http://www.wired.com/magazine/2010/01/st henrietta/
- 4. Dale Keiger, *Immortal Cells, Enduring Issues*, John Hopkins Magazine 1-6 (June 2, 2010). http://archive.magazine.jhu.edu/2010/06/immortal-cells-enduring-issues/
- 5. Amy Harmon, *Indian Tribe Wins Fight to Limit Research of Its DNA*, NY Times, April 21, 2010. http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/22/us/22dna.html?pagewanted=all& r=0
- 6. Michelle Mello & Leslie E. Wolf, *The Havasupai Tribe Case Lessons for Research Involving Stored Biologic Samples*, 363 NEJM 204-207 (July 15, 2010).http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp1005203?query=current&rss=1

Commodification

- 1. Rebecca Skloot, *Taking the Least of You*, N.Y. Times Magazine, April 16, 2006. http://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/16/magazine/16tissue.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0
- 2. Patricia Cohen, *Returning the Blessing of an Immortal Life*. N.Y. Times, Feb. 5, 2011, C1. http://www.nytimes.com/2011/02/05/books/05lacks.html
- 3. Lynn G. Dressler, Biospecimen "Ownership": Counterpoint, 16 Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers 190-191 (Feb. 14, 2007). http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/16/2/190.full.pdf+html

Prepare (for discussion)

- 1) To make a brief statement about your stakeholder who is she or he?
- 2) Answer guestions from your stakeholder's perspective, including:
 - Was Henrietta Lacks wronged? If so, how? If no, why not?
 - Should consent to use of her tissue have been obtained?
 - Should Henrietta Lacks and her family have been informed of the use of her cells? If so,when/at what stage?
 - Should she have had a property interest or a claim other than breach of fiduciary duty?

Syllabus

Time and Place

Class meetings: Mondays, Wednesdays & Thursdays, 11:00 – 11:50 a.m., Room 2320

NOTE: Class will not meet on Wednesday, November 28 and Thursday, November 29. Make-up times will be scheduled earlier in the semester.

Office

Room 2115

Telephone: 530/754-6463 Email: <u>lcikemoto@ucdavis.edu</u>

Reading Materials

Dolgin & Shepherd, Bioethics and the Law (2d ed. 2009) Other materials will be distributed in class or assigned on-line.

Basic Requirements – Summary

Regular class attendance, completion of reading assignments, regular and thoughtful participation in class discussion.

NOTE: Failure to participate on a regular basis can negatively affect your grade. Failure to attend class on a regular basis will result in disenrollment in the course.

Completion of writing assignments (described below), including 20-page research paper.

NOTE: Failure to turn in assignments, including failure to turn in assignments on-time will negatively affect your grade.

Work-in-Progress commentary and presentation (described below).

NOTE: Failure to provide assigned commentary or a presentation will negatively affect your grade.

WRITING ASSIGNMENTS

Research Paper Topic: Due THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, at the beginning of class.

You must meet with Professor Ikemoto and receive pre-approval of your topic <u>before</u> September 6. On Thursday, September 6, please turn in a short (1-3 sentences) description of your paper topic.

Research Paper Outline: Due THURSDAY, September 27, at the beginning of class.

2-5 pages in writing – must include major headings, sub-headings, and a one paragraph description of each major section of the paper; and a preliminary thesis statement.

Case Study: The Case Study assignment will be part of the unit on Issues in Emerging Genetic Technologies. Materials and instructions for the Case Study will be distributed

Research Paper – Draft: Due ONE WEEK BEFORE your Work-in-Progress Presentation. Work-in-Progress Presentations will take place from mid-November to November 26.

Works-in-Progress Comments: You will have primary responsibility for providing written and oral comments on two works-in-progress by classmates. Your comments will be due in class when the relevant work-in-progress is presented. Criteria for comments distributed in class in mid-semester. **Research Paper** – **Final Paper**: Due THURSDAY, DECEMBER 20 by NOON.

You may deliver a hard copy to me in my office or my mailbox, or you may email a copy to me at lcikemoto@ucdavis.edu Only the final paper will be given a letter grade. The other assignments will be graded on a Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory basis. Missing a due date or turning in an assignment that receives an "Unsatisfactory" will negatively affect your course grade by half a grade.

WORKS-IN-PROGRESS ASSIGNMENTS

Each student will present her/his paper in a work-in-progress session during the last 2-3 weeks of class. Each paper will receive a 20 minute session. During that session, the author will make a 5 minute presentation of the draft paper; two assigned commenters will provide constructive commentary on the draft; the rest of the class will then participate in a discussion of the draft.

The author must distribute the draft one week before the scheduled work-in-progress session. Assigned commenters must provide written, as well as, oral comments.

The Works-in-Progress Schedule will be set up mid-semester

READING ASSIGNMENTS

All pages below refer to the Dolgin & Shepherd text unless otherwise indicated.

I. Introduction to bioethics

A. "Bioethics" 3-12, Moreno,

Stolberg

B. The Human Body, Health and Disease 38-42, Fee &

Krieger, Peace

C. Biomedicalization Clarke et al.

II. Autonomy and Bodily Integrity

A. Informed Consent – Doctrinal analysis 45-68

B. Critique of dominant framework Fisher, Lombardo,

Fritz, Moore & Ram

III. Human Subject Research

A. History, Bioethical and Social Perspectives 401-411

B. Regulation http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/index.html

>> Regulations and Ethical Guidelines

Nuremberg Code

World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki

45 CFR 46

Guidelines for Conduct of Research Involving Human Subjects at NIH

434-447, including Greenberg

C. Current Practices and Recent Issues 411-423

D. Special Populations 449-451, Handout

E. Guinea-Pigging Elliott

IV. Assisted Reproductive Technology Use & Reprogenetics

A. Introduction 161-167
B. The Technologies 167-172

Browse: http://www.ucsfivf.org/ucsf-services.htm

C. The Industry Spar
D. Surrogacy 172-189,
E. Embryos 189-196
129-136
F. Gamete procurement Handout

G. Reprogenetics & Other Selection Issues 338-351,

223-235, Handout

V.. Issues in Emerging Genetic Technologies

Handout

- A. Introduction
- B. HeLa Case Study
- C. Stem Cell Research & Regenerative Medicine
- D. Direct to Consumer Genetics
- E. Synthetic Biology

VI. Selected End of Life Issues

A. Introduction
 B. Definitions and Determinations of Death
 C. Withholding or Terminating Life-Sustaining Treatment

Patients without Competency

738-765, 72-75, Miles & August nent 83-88, 776-793,

2. Competent Patients Who Wish to Refuse Treatment

Handout, Re-read

Peace

3. When the Patient or Her Family Wants "Futile" Treatment 793-800 D. Physician Aid in Hastening Death 800-836,

VII. Works-in-Progress